



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,170	08/01/2001	Yasushi Fujinami	450100-03402	5295

22850 7590 12/16/2005

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

VAN HANDEL, MICHAEL P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/920,170	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FUJINAMI, YASUSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael Van Handel	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. This action is responsive to an Amendment filed 10/11/2005. Claims **1-16** are pending. Claims **1, 10-12** are amended. Claims **13-16** are new.

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/2005 with respect to claims **1-12** have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.
2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/2005 with respect to claims **13-16** have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Referring to claims **1-12**, the applicant argues that Hill does not disclose issuing a request for image data of screens within a predetermined range forward and backward with reference to a notice screen. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Although not cited in the rejection of independent claims 1, 10-12 in the last Office Action, Hill further discloses that upon starting from a given frame (noticed screen), the buffer manager 118 requests a group of frames on either side of the given frame (col. 11, l. 23-26). Therefore, Hill meets the limitations of amended claims 1, 10-12 as currently written.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims **1, 3-8, 10-12** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hill.

Referring to claim **1**, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 (digital network environment)(col. 3, l. 27-28)(Fig. 1), comprising:

- a reception section 104 (workstation)(col. 3, l. 28-32)(Fig. 1) for receiving image data transmitted thereto from a transmission apparatus 122 (source)(col. 3, l. 49-56)(Fig. 1) through a predetermined transmission line 126, 128 (audio track and video track)(col. 4, l. 44-45)(Fig. 1)
- a storage section (local digital memory) having a storage capacity for a plurality of screens or more for storing the image data received by said reception section (col. 4, l. 7-11);
- and a control section 114 (control unit) for issuing a request for image data of screens within a predetermined range forward and backward (col. 11, l. 23-28) with reference to a noticed screen (col. 5, l. 9-15, 23-43, 48-67)(col. 6, l. 1-10, 13-16)(col. 7, l. 45-50)(col. 8, l. 1-3, 10-17) to said transmission apparatus and controlling a display apparatus to display the image data stored in said storage section (col. 3, l. 32-36, 65-67)(col. 4, l. 1-6).

Referring to claim **3**, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim **1**, wherein said control section 114 requests said transmission apparatus 122 for those of the image data of the screens within the predetermined range which are not stored in said storage

section (col. 5, l. 23-34, 48-51)(col. 8, l. 10-17)(col. 10, l. 26-36)(Figs. 1, 3, 5B, 5D).

Referring to claim 4, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim 3, further comprising a management information storage section 114, 118 for storing, for each screen, management information of whether or not the image data of the screen are stored in said storage section (col. 5, l. 66-67)(col. 6, l. 1-4), and wherein said control section 114 recognizes, based on the management information, those of the image data of the screens within the predetermined range which are not stored in said storage section (col. 10, l. 26-36)(Figs. 1, 5D).

Referring to claim 5, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim 1, wherein said control section 114 requests said transmission apparatus 122 for the image data of the screens within the predetermined range in accordance with a predetermined priority order (col. 9, l. 45-65).

Referring to claim 6, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim 5, further comprising an inputting section 124 (col. 3, l. 29-36)(Fig. 1) for inputting an instruction of a playback method of the image data, and wherein said control section 114 sets the predetermined range in accordance with the playback method of the image data (col. 3, l. 57-62)(col. 10, l. 14-19, 26-36)(Figs. 1, 5C, 5D).

Referring to claim 7, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim 1, wherein said control section 114 requests said transmission apparatus 122 for image data of a predetermined plurality of screens within the predetermined range (col. 10, l. 26-36)(Figs. 1, 5D).

Referring to claim 8, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102 according to claim 7, further comprising an inputting section 124 for inputting an instruction of a playback method of the image data, and wherein said control section sets a predetermined plurality of screens

Art Unit: 2617

within the predetermined range in accordance with the playback method of the image data (col. 10, l. 26-36)(Figs., 1, 5D).

Referring to claims **10** and **11**, the examiner notes that the claims are the same, except that claim 11 refers to a recording medium on which a program executed by a computer is recorded. The examiner notes that this recording medium is the control unit 114, since it is responsible for the overall control of the system (col. 3, l. 57-58). Hill discloses an image processing method, comprising:

- a reception step 204 of receiving image data transmitted thereto from a transmission apparatus 122 through a predetermined transmission line 126, 128 (col. 4, l. 57-67) (Figs. 1, 2);
- a request step 208, 210 of requesting said transmission apparatus 122 for image data of screens within a predetermined range forward and backward (col. 11, l. 23-28) with reference to a noticed screen (col. 5, l. 4-12)(Figs. 1, 2);
- an image storage step of storing the image data of the screens within the predetermined range received by the reception step (col. 5, l. 12-15)(Figs. 1, 2);
- and a display control step 214, 204 of controlling a display apparatus to display the stored image data (col. 5, l. 16-21)(Figs. 1, 2).

Referring to claim **12**, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102, comprising:

- a transmission apparatus 122 for playing back image data and transmitting the image data through a predetermined transmission line 126, 128 (col. 3, l. 48-56)(col. 4, l. 35-38)(Fig. 1); and

- a reception apparatus 104 for receiving the image data transmitted thereto from said transmission apparatus 122 through said transmission line 126, 128 (col. 3, l. 28-29)(col. 4, l. 35-37)(Fig. 1);
- said transmission apparatus 122 including a playback section playing back image data in response to a request from said reception apparatus (col. 5, l. 23-30)(Figs. 1, 3) and a transmission section for transmitting the played back image data to said reception apparatus through said predetermined transmission line (the examiner notes that a transmission section is necessary for transmission and is therefore inherent to the transmission apparatus 122)
- said reception apparatus 104 including a reception section for receiving the image data transmitted thereto from said transmission apparatus 122 through said predetermined transmission line 126, 128 (col. 3, l. 28-32)(Fig. 1), a storage section (local digital memory) having a storage capacity for a plurality of screens or more for storing the image data received by said reception section (col. 4, l. 7-11), and a display control section 114 (control unit) for issuing a request for image data of screens within a predetermined range forward and backward (col. 11, l. 23-28) with reference to a noticed screen (col. 5, l. 9-15, 23-43, 48-67)(col. 6, l. 1-10, 13-16)(col. 7, l. 45-50)(col. 8, l. 1-3, 10-17) to said transmission apparatus and controlling a display apparatus to display the image data stored in said storage section (col. 3, l. 32-36, 65-67)(col. 4, l. 1-6).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Art Unit: 2617

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill in view of Galensky et al.

Referring to claim 2, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102. Hill does not disclose an image processing apparatus with a transmission line that transmits the image data at a transfer rate higher than the lowest transfer rate necessary to play back the image data normally. Galensky et al. discloses a wireless multimedia player wherein data is transmitted over a wireless network 40 at the highest data rate possible over the wireless network at the point in time when the data stream is initiated to permit a microprocessor 82 of the device 80 to create a buffer (Figs. 1, 2)(col. 6, l. 1-10). It would have been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Hill to include a transmission line in which data is transferred at the highest data rate such as that taught by Galensky et al. in order to create a buffer for streaming media.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill in view of Watkins et al.

Referring to claim 9, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus 102. Hill does not disclose an image processing apparatus with a transmission line that complies with the IEEE 1394 standard. Watkins et al. discloses a multimedia terminal 602, 608 connected to a server via a high bandwidth channel 604 (col. 11, l. 45-58)(Fig. 6). Watkins et al. discloses that this



channel could be IEEE 1394 (col. 12, l. 1-3). It would have been obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify Hill to include an IEEE 1394 transmission line such as that taught by Watkins et al. in order to allow for transfer of movie clips over a network channel having a capacity for more than 10 Mb/s (col. 11, l. 66-67).

6. Claims **13-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill.

Referring to claims **13-16**, Hill discloses an image processing apparatus according to claims 1, 10-12, respectively. Hill further discloses that the initial request may vary in size (col. 11, l. 26). Hill does not disclose that the predetermined range is 2 minutes forward and backward of the noticed screen. The examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known within the prior art to vary the number of image frames stored in a buffer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to modify the number of image frames stored in the buffer of Hill in order to allow for smooth media playback.

### ***Conclusion***

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2617

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Van Handel whose telephone number is 571.272.5968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571.272.7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Van Handel  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2617

MVH

  
CHRIS KELLEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800